

## Table of Contents

Purpose	2
	<ul> <li>Purpose</li> <li>Pre-Visit Preparation</li> <li>On-Site Review</li> <li>Recipient Responsibilities (TDHE or Tribe)</li> <li>Recipient Responsibilities If TDHE Is Recipient</li> <li>Tribe Responsibilities If TDHE Is Recipient</li> <li>Subrecipients</li> <li>Self-Monitoring Mutual Agreements</li></ul>



RECIPIENT NAME:	<u>Regulatory/</u> <u>Statutory</u> <u>Citation</u>	Other Tools	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
I. Purpose				
IHBG recipients are required to complete an annual self-monitoring compliance assessment (Assessment of adherence to IHBG program requirements and applicable statutes. Self-monitoring activates the tribal self-determination framework envisioned unde NAHASDA. Beneficiaries and recipients of assistance are expected to be accountable for their stewardship of NAHASDA resources. An Assessme system assists IHBG recipients to identify weak management practices that may lead to later consequences that could lessen the ability of the organization to provide affordable housing. Self- monitoring builds organizational capacity by learning from mistakes and successes to improve the way housing activities and programs operate. The following questions should assist the reviewer in evaluating the recipient's Assessment process.	Section 403(b) 2 CFR 200.328(a) 24 CFR 1000.502(a) and (b) g	Program Guidance 2007-08 and 2005-04, (Tribes/ TDHEs) HUD-52737 (IHP/APR)		
II. Pre-Visit Preparation				
A. If ONAP has entered into a Self-Monitoring Mutual Agreement (SMMA) with the recipient, review the SMMA, as well as:	24 CFR 1000.503(d)			
1. The self-monitoring plan or policy or revised plan or policy.				



RE	RECIPIENT NAME:		Regulatory/ Statutory Citation	<u>Other</u> <u>Tools</u>	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
	2.	The latest Assessment conducted and submitted by the recipient and the results.				
	3.	The actions proposed or taken to correct any deficiencies noted in the Assessment.				
В.	If av	vailable, review the following documents:				
	1.	Most recent IHP, approved IHP amendments, IHP amendments in process				
	2.	Section 10 of latest APR. Based on any deficiencies identified in Section 10, identify areas for additional attention during site review.				
	3.	Previous monitoring findings in the areas of procurement, Indian preference, contract administration, and/or Section 3.				
	4.	Financial audits and OIG audits findings and/or work papers in the areas of procurement, Indian preference, contract administration, and/or Section 3.				
	5.	Corrective actions status for findings in any of these areas.				
	6.	Previous and current enforcement actions.				
	7.	Valid complaints received in the areas of procurement, occupancy, Indian preference, contract administration, and/or Section 3.				
	9.	Relevant correspondence.				



RECIPIENT NAME:	<u>Regulatory/</u> <u>Statutory</u> <u>Citation</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>Tools</u>	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
III. On-Site Review				
Under NAHASDA, both the recipient and the grant beneficiary have a role in reviewing the activities conducted and housing assisted to assess compliance with the requirements of NAHASDA. The sections below review each entity's role. Review the sampling methods in the General Instructions.	NAHASDA, Section 403(b) 24 CFR 1000.502(a) and (b) 24 CFR 1000.503(b) and (c)	Program Guidance 2007-08 and 2005-04, (Tribes/ TDHEs)		
IV. Recipient Responsibilities (TDHE or Tribe)				
A. If the recipient has a Self-Monitoring Policy, the reviewer should review the policy to assist in determining whether the recipient's plan or process has been followed.	NAHASDA, Section 403(b)			
<ol> <li>Is a self-monitoring plan or process in place?</li> </ol>				
2. Does the self-monitoring plan indicate there could be a conflict of interest in regards to the person conducting the Assessment?				
3. Review the recipient's Assessments.				
4. Are Assessments completed at least annually?	24 CFR 1000.502(a) and (b)			



<b>RECIPIENT NAME:</b>		Regulatory/ Statutory Citation	Other Tools	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
5. Are the Assessments	adequate?	24 CFR 1000.502(b) and .506			
a Is the recipient p Assessment repo officials?	roviding regular rts to the BOC or Tribal				
	nents process include e planned activities [HP?				
c If so, does the As information conc planned activities	erning the status of the				
B. Has the recipient noted any of noncompliance with NA applicable regulations?					
1. If so, does the recipion corrective action plan address those deficie	n or strategy in place to	24 CFR 1000.508			
	entified in the Annual (APR) with corrective o confirm the	24 CFR 1000.512	HUD-52737 (IHP/APR)		
3. Test for completion a corrective actions.	and effectiveness of				



RECIPIENT NAME:	<u>Regulatory/</u> <u>Statutory</u> Citation	Other Tools	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
<ul> <li>C. Has HUD's on-site monitoring review identified deficiencies or areas of noncompliance that were not identified in the recipient's Assessments?</li> <li>If yes, the reviewer should make an additional finding or concern in this area citing that its Assessments process is not adequate to ensure compliance with applicable Federal requirements.</li> <li>If no Assessment deficiencies are identified, go to question 2.</li> </ul>	24 CFR 1000.508			
<ol> <li>Has the recipient made progress from year to year in addressing deficiencies identified in the Assessments?</li> <li>NOTE. The most efficient way to determine progress over time is to design an exception chart, identifying by year, the problem, and corrective actions determined and taken. Progress over time should result in fewer problems each year.</li> <li>Has the recipient made the results of its Assessment available to the public? (Determine this from either the APR or from management and staff interviews.)</li> </ol>	24 CFR 1000.518	Section 10 of the IHP/APR		



RECIPIENT NAME:	<u>Regulatory/</u> <u>Statutory</u> <u>Citation</u>	<u>Other</u> <u>Tools</u>	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
V. Recipient Responsibilities If TDHE Is Recipient				
Has the TDHE submitted periodic progress reports to the Tribe, including the Assessment, APR, and audit reports? Note: This may have to be determined when reviewing the tribe to ensure the TDHE has met its responsibilities.	24 CFR 1000.502(b) and .506			
VI. Tribe Responsibilities If TDHE is Recipient				
When the recipient is a TDHE, the tribe is responsible for monitoring programmatic and compliance requirements by requiring the TDHE to prepare periodic progress reports including the Assessment, APR, and audit reports.				
A. Has the tribe established a schedule for the TDHE to submit Assessment reports and has the tribe provided the schedule to the TDHE?	24 CFR 1000.502(b)			
B. If yes, is the TDHE submitting the Assessment reports to the Tribe according to the schedule established?	24 CFR 1000.506			
C. Has the Tribe monitored the TDHE to determine whether the TDHE is meeting the programmatic and compliance requirements of the IHP and NAHASDA?	24 CFR 1000.506			



REC	RECIPIENT NAME:		Statutory Citation		<u>Other</u> <u>Tools</u>	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
		If yes, has the Tribe identified any compliance concerns?	24 CFR 1000.510				
		If yes, has the TDHE addressed these concerns?	24 CFR 1000.510				
		If no, include the finding in the monitoring report to the TDHE and send a letter to the Tribe notifying them of the finding.					
VII	. Su	brecipients					
		ubrecipients contractually involved in the ent's IHBG activities?	2 CFR 200.330 and .331				
		If so, is the recipient monitoring the subrecipients for compliance with the subrecipient agreement and applicable NAHASDA requirements?	2 CFR 200.331				
		Use the above questions to evaluate the recipient's monitoring of its subrecipients – this may cover area(s) that ideally should have been included in a contract and were not.					
	Are su proces	ubrecipients included in the Assessments ss?					



RECIPIENT NAME:	Regulatory/ Statutory Citation	Other Tools	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
VIII. Self-Monitoring Mutual Agreements				
A. Has ONAP entered into a SMMA with the recipient? If so,	24 CFR 1000.503(d)			
1. Has the self-monitoring plan and/or policy been revised since the SMMA was executed?				
a. If so, does it still address all the items contained in the Program Guidance under the "Initial Review" section?				
b. If not, the SMMA should be reviewed and possibly terminated (after discussion with ONAP).				
2. Was the Assessment conducted by an independent person?				
3. If corrective actions were identified in the last Assessment, have they been taken?				
4. If so, have the deficiencies been corrected?				
5. Has the review identified any findings that were not identified in the recipient's last Assessments?				



RECIPIENT NAME:	Regulatory/ Statutory Citation	Other Tools	<u>W/P</u> <u>Pg.</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
a. If the violation(s) occurred after the last Assessment, make additional finding(s) in this area.				
X. Summary				
<ul> <li>A. Summarize the results of the review in a work paper.</li> <li>B. Discuss significant issues with staff.</li> <li>C. Develop findings, including questioned costs and corrective actions, as appropriate.</li> <li>D. Develop concerns because they could lead to a violation.</li> <li>E. Develop report language, including any findings and concerns.</li> <li>F. If there are any major issues identified in this review and the recipient has approval to invest, determine if a withdrawal of investment authority should be recommended.</li> </ul>				

Reviewer Name:	
<b>Review Date(s):</b>	

Attach supporting documents to back of this page.